
#### Effect of Plus-Minus Grades on Graduation with Academic Distinction for Engineering Students at Wichita State University



Roy Myose, Elizabeth Rollins, Klaus Hoffmann, Department of Aerospace Engineering

> Kimberly Engber, and Sarah Myose Cohen Honors College




# **Background on Grade Inflation**

Stuart Rojstaczer (<u>www.gradeinflation.com</u>) has collected grade inflation trend over the last 50 years

o Dataset includes 170 schools

Grade of C was most common grade until the Vietnam war (draft deferment effect thereafter)

Grade of A is now the most common grade








et al






Lower Division with 2.78 GPA Upper Division with 3.12 GPA Average of two (® 2.95 GPA) National average











	_	
	_	
	_	

WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY

#### Lower level class GPA < upper level class GPA

Category	# Students	# per class	Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	36	79 <u>+</u> 17	2.51
Junior Year	529	44	80 <u>+</u> 13	2.85
Overall Average	1000	40	80 <u>+</u> 14	2.70

for both whole-letter grade and +/- grade, respectively

Category	# Students	# per class	Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	47	76 <u>+</u> 15	2.23
Junior Year	549	61	81 <u>+</u> 11	2.68
Overall Average	1020	54	79 <u>+</u> 13	2.48

17 September 2019



Category	# Students	# per class	Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	36	79 <u>+</u> 17	2.51
Junior Year	529	44	80 <u>+</u> 13	2.85
Overall Average	1000	40	80 <u>+</u> 14	2.70

			61	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	47	76 <u>+</u> 15	2.23
Junior Year	549	61	81 <u>+</u> 11	2.68
Overall Average	1020	54	79 <u>+</u> 13	2.48

17 September 2019



Category	# Students	# per class	Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	36	79 <u>+</u> 17	2.51
Junior Year	529	44	80 <u>+</u> 13	2.85
Overall Average	1000	40	80 <u>+</u> 14	2.70

			Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	47	76 <u>+</u> 15	2.23
Junior Year	549	61	81 <u>+</u> 11	2.68
Overall Average	1020	54	79 <u>+</u> 13	2.48

17 September 2019



Standard deviation narrows for +/- grades – possible cause?

Category	# Students	# per class	Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	36	79 <u>+</u> 17	2.51
Junior Year	529	44	80 <u>+</u> 13 🖡	2.85
Overall Average	1000	40	80 <u>+</u> 14	2.70
	I			1

Category	# Students	# per class	Ave Score & S.D	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	47	76 <u>+</u> 15	2.23
Junior Year	549	61	81 <u>+</u> 11 <sup>•</sup>	2.68
Overall Average	1020	54	79 <u>+</u> 13	2.48

17 September 2019



Could change to +/- grades cause this difference?

Category	# Students	# per class	Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	36	79 <u>+</u> 17	2.51
Junior Year	529	44	80 <u>+</u> 13	2.85
Overall Average	1000	40	80 <u>+</u> 14	2.70

Convert to whole-letter grades & re-calculate GPAs ® no change

Category	# Students	# per class	Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	47	76 <u>+</u> 15 <mark>2.22</mark>	<b>-</b> 2.23
Junior Year	549	61	81 <u>+</u> 11 <b>2.69</b>	<b>-</b> 2.68
Overall Average	1020	54	79 <u>+</u> 13 <mark>2.48</mark>	<b>-</b> 2.48



Recent (+/- grade) class size larger ® likely cause of GPA <sup>-</sup>


Category	# Students	#	# per class		Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471		<b>3</b> 6		79 <u>+</u> 17	2.51
Junior Year	529		<b>4</b> 4		80 <u>+</u> 13	2.85
Overall Average	1000		40		80 <u>+</u> 14	2.70
	•					

o Topic for future paper


Category	# Students	# p	er cla	SS	Ave Score & S.D.	GPA
Sophomore Year	471	V	47		76 <u>+</u> 15	2.23
Junior Year	549		61		81 <u>+</u> 11	2.68
Overall Average	1020		54	7	79 <u>+</u> 13	2.48




Results by discipline: whole-letter grade on left & +/- grade on right SCL = orange (bottom), MCL = pink (middle), CL = green (top)



Comparing across disciplines is not meaningful because of differing requirements



- o Example 1: Education & Health Professions requires GPA>2.5
- o Example 2: Fine Arts requires passing sophomore review



Most disciplines increased number of graduates with distinction Finer details & observations easier to see from tabular results





Table gives amount of change: those under whole-letter grade minus1t4ose197n< 18898/a89-





Number of SCL <u>decreased</u> for almost every discipline

o Only exception is Liberal Arts B.S.

Discipline	SCL	MCL	CL	SCL+MCL+CL
Business	-0.7%	+2.2%	+1.4%	+2.9%
Education (now Applied Studies)	-1.5%	+0.3%	-0.6%	-1.8%
Engineering	-2.1%	-1.2%	+0.8%	-2.9%
Fine Arts	-0.4%	+2.5%	-1.2%	+0.9%
Health Professions	-0.6%	+0.9%	+4.6%	+4.9%
Liberal Arts B.A.	-0.6%	+0.9%	+1.4%	+1.7%
Liberal Arts B.S.	+0.8%	+2.4%	-3.0%	+0.2%
Entire University	-0.5%	+2.1%	+2.1%	+3.5%


17 September 2019



Discipline	SCL	MCL	CL	SCL+MCL+CL
Business	-0.7%	+2.2%	+1.4%	+2.9%
Education (now Applied Studies)	-1.5%	+0.3%	-0.6%	-1.8%
Engineering	-2.1%	-1.2%	+0.8%	-2.9%
Fine Arts	-0.4%	+2.5%	-1.2%	+0.9%
Health Professions	-0.6%	+0.9%	+4.6%	+4.9%
Liberal Arts B.A.	-0.6%	+0.9%	+1.4%	+1.7%
Liberal Arts B.S.	+0.8%	+2.4%	-3.0%	+0.2%
Entire University	-0.5%	+2.1%	+2.1%	+3.5%



Discipline	SCL	MCL	CL	SCL+MCL+CL
Business	-0.7%	+2.2%	+1.4%	+2.9%
Education (now Applied Studies)	-1.5%	+0.3%	-0.6%	-1.8%
Engineering	-2.1%	-1.2%	+0.8%	-2.9%
Fine Arts	-0.4%	+2.5%	-1.2%	+0.9%





#### Summary

Effect of +/- grading system on graduation with academic distinction was considered

 Data sets consisted of five-year periods when whole-letter grades were used and for a similar period under +/- grading

Overall, the number of *summa cum laudes* decreased with +/- grading while the number of graduates in other distinction categories increased

In engineering, there was a decrease in *summa* and *magna cum laudes* without a corresponding increase in *cum laudes* 

Actual grade distributions in Engineering classes were also considered

- o Increased class size appeared to affect student performance
- o This is a topic for future study

